From: Martin Brown
To: Crown Casework
Subject: Fwd: FORMAL OBJECTION - MOD BICESTER SITE A
Date: 13 September 2026 23:34:03

[redacted]

FORMAL OBJECTION - MOD BICESTER SITE A

Application Reference : PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843

Mr M Brown
[redacted]

Date 13th September 2026

Dear Crown Development Casework Unit,

I am writing to formally object to the proposal to use the MOD site at Piddington to house approximately 1,250 male asylum seekers.

My objection is entirely about the overwhelming scale of this project, it has nothing to do with the background of the people moving in, but everything to do with the fact that our tiny quiet village
simply cope with an influx of this size.

[redacted]Piddington has only 350 residents, quadrupling our population overnight is not a modest adjustment, it will completely rewrite the character of our rural community.

Please consider the following critical issues before making a decision.

1. Complete lack of infrastructure:

We have no village shop, no pub, no postoffice, or medical facilities new residents will be entirely isolated which is detrimental to their wellbeing.

2. Dangerous road conditions:

Our rural roads completely lack proper pavements and overnight street lighting, having walked these lanes for decades, I know first-hand how treacherous these can be, so expecting people to walk along unlit, narrow country
roads to reach Piddington and neighbouring villages is a serious accident waiting to happen.

3. Overwhelmed public services:

The planning document claims that the site will be "self-sufficient," but how this is actually going to happen is a total mystery to me. There are already not enough GP's or dentists around to cover the existing local population as it is. Where they expect to suddenly find the medical staff and resources to look after an extra 1,250 people is beyond comprehension. It will break an already stretched system, effecting healthcare and safety for everyone in the wider area.

4. Construction safety and regulatory compliance:

[redacted], I am acutely aware of the statutory obligations required for large scale redevelopments. Before, any physical breaking of ground occurs or modular
units are delivered, there must be all verification that all CDM 2015 regulations, necessary health and safety documentation, environmental impact mitigations logs, and formal construction procurement frameworks have been
fully executed and made completely accessible on the planning portal. Temporary or urgent crown development
clauses must not be used as a mechanism to circumvent standard construction compliance. Everything must be done strictly by the book, as these legal papers must be completely visible to the public first.

The A site is not suitable just because it has empty buildings, a proper home requires infrastructure, transport, healthcare, and safe walkways.

Therefore, before this goes any further, I request that the authorities publish independent, thorough assessments on the traffic impact, pedestrian safety, health care capacity and utility strain this will cause.

I ask you to please reconsider this proposal and find a more suitable urban location that actually possesses the infrastructure required to support these individuals properly.

Please keep me fully informed of next steps.

Yours faithfully,

Martin Brown